

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,675 02/08/2002		George Koregelos	HWP/0067 5161	
498	7590 03/02/2004		EXAMINER	
JAMES R. CYPHER			SPISICH, MARK	
405 14TH STI SUITE 1607	REET	ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
OAKLAND, CA 94612			1744 .	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					110			
		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
,		10/072,6	2,675 KOREGELOS, GEORGE		GEORGE			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	ər	Art Unit				
		Mark Sp		1744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet w	ith the correspondence	address			
THE - External form of the control o	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN unsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comit e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the st tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a atutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MOI oplication to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered tin NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	•		ters, prosecution as to t	the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 12-4</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,27 and 29-38</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>25,26 and 28</u> is/are object Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restrict	24 is/are withdrawn f e rejected. ed to.		on.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)🖾	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b	o) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	-	•	• • •				
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in A nents have beer ule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nation	al Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)							
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (f mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/02,6,9,11/03</u> .			s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (F 	PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/072,675 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1,3-11 and 25-38, drawn to a cleaning device, classified in class
 subclass 104.2.
 - II. Claims 2 and 12-24, drawn to a cleaning device forming method, classified in class 300, subclass 21.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of claim 2 can be used to produce a device which does not have a base member formed in a helix-like configuration (required by claim 1) and the device of claim 1 need not be made with the specific process steps required by claim 12.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with James R Cypher on 23 February 2004 a provisional election was made with the right to traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1,3-11 and 25-38. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/072,675

Art Unit: 1744

in replying to this Office action. Claims 2 and 12-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Comment Re Page 1

Applicant is requested to update the status of the parent application mentioned at the beginning of page 1 (paragraph 0001).

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: (1) #12 is called a "gripping member" (paragraph 0053, line 4) as well as a "starting point" (paragraph 0054, lines 6,8 & 12); and (2) "182" (paragraph 0094, line 5) should be – 379 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: it ends in a comma. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1,3,4,6,7,9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lechene (USP 3,351,387). The patent to Lechene discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/072,675

Art Unit: 1744

an elongated flexible base member (45) made of a thermoplastic material (column 2, line 20) such as polypropylene (which is the preferred material of the present application) which has a plurality of fibers (46) protruding therefrom and which is formed in a helix-like configuration (see fig 5) and wherein the helix shape defined by the flexible member receives a core member (53). Figure 5 of Lechene reasonably discloses a constant helical angle (claim 4). The coils of Lecehe are spaced from each other (claim 7) and the "close fit" of claim 6 defines no more than a relative term which is also reasonably met by Lechene. As the material of Lechene is the same as applicant's preferred material, claim 11 is met by the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lechene (USP 3,351,387) in view of Hunt (USP 3,343,884). The patent to Lechene discloses a cleaning device comprising a base member (44) with a plurality of fibers (46) extending therefrom wherein the base member is made of a thermoplatic polypropylene (column 2, lines 19-21) and wherein the base member is formed into a helical configuration (see fig 5) which appears to have a constant "helical angle". The patent to Lechene discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the varying helical angle. The patent to Hunt discloses a similar brush wherein the pitch

Application/Control Number: 10/072,675

Art Unit: 1744

of the spiral may be varied (see column 1, lines 52-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the device of Lechene as such to produce the desired bristle density.

- 11. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lechene (USP 3,351,387) in view of Stebler (USP 1,454,191). The patent to Lechene discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the fibers having a varying length. The patent to Stebler discloses that it is well known per se to provide variedlength fibers in a rotary cylindrical brush. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the device of Lechene as such so as to produce a varied brushing effect as the brush is rotated.
- 12. Claims 27, and 29-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lechene (USP 3,351,387). The patent to Lechene discloses the structure of claim 1 in addition to a non-stretchable and non-compressible core (53) inserted through a portion of the opening formed by the elongated base member and connected thereto at one or more points along the length of the base member (claim 27). Lechene discloses that the core member is made of wood or other material (column 5, lines 30). Lechene discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the core being plastic. One of ordinary skill to would deem it obvious to use any number of common materials for the core (53), in particular plastic in that it would be cheaper than wood. The flexible member of Lechene is connected to the core member substantially continuously (claim 29). The portion of the core (53) of Lechene which extends beyond the ends of the flexible member read (at least structurally) on the first and second "core

Application/Control Number: 10/072,675 Page 6

Art'Unit: 1744

extensions" (claims 30-31). The remaining claims (32-38) recite either functional or relative language which fails to structurally define over Lechene.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 25,26 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARK SPISICH PRIMARY EXAMINER

-Mark Spisich

(7)